CISOTED25

Considerations on the role of collaboration and virtual teams in education in a technocratic world

Cristina Prego de Oliver
cristina.prego@urjc.es
César Bernal Bravo
cesar.bernal@urjc.es
Irene Palomero Ilardia
irene.palomero@urjc.es

Resumen

The rapid transition toward virtual and hybrid work and learning environments has profoundly reshaped the dynamics of teamwork, collaboration, and leadership. This paper synthesizes research from organizational psychology, education, and technology-enhanced learning to explore the factors influencing effective virtual teamwork in social science education. Key areas of focus include the alignment of personal and team values, psychological safety, structured communication protocols, and the role of technology, including artificial intelligence, in supporting collaborative processes. The study draws on recent empirical research, literature reviews, and industry reports to examine how these factors influence engagement, innovation, and performance in both educational and professional settings. Findings highlight the centrality of value alignment, trust, and adaptive leadership, alongside the importance of preparing students and lecturers to work effectively in digital teams. Recommendations for practice emphasize fostering psychological safety, implementing clear collaboration frameworks, and leveraging digital tools to enhance engagement and learning outcomes.

Palabras clave: Virtual teamwork; online social science education; psychological safety; collaborative learning; digital collaboration

CISOTED25

Propuesta / Investigación / Preguntas de investigación y marco teórico:

Teamwork is widely recognized as a cornerstone of organizational effectiveness and educational success. However, its complexity increases in virtual and hybrid environments, where team members often represent diverse personal values, cultural orientations, and work or learning experiences (Schwartz, 1992; Appelo, 2016). In such contexts, the ability to foster trust, manage communication effectively, and ensure meaningful engagement is critical. Also, as noted by Habermas, "communicative action is oriented to reaching understanding and is governed by the aim of mutual agreement" (Habermas, 1981), and communication does not always come easy in virtual educational environments. Agreeing the communication etiquette is also relevant. Peter Hilton proposes “don’t send faster than you can receive and then don’t send faster than the other person is sending” (Hilton, 2014 cited in Sutherland and Janene-Nelson, 2020). In terms of mindset, as miscommunications will occur, a positive intent and keeping messages “constructive rather than critical or accusatory” is key (2020).

In social science education, virtual collaboration can be further enhanced by AI-supported tools that enable personalized learning, real-time feedback, and streamlined interaction among students (Jony & Hamim, 2024). Also, studies show that educators’ attitudes toward teamwork significantly influence students’ engagement and skill development in online collaborative tasks (Navío-Marco, 2025).

The integration of digital learning platforms and virtual collaboration tools has transformed educational environments, making online teamwork an essential skill for students and educators alike. Studies indicate that collaborative learning enhances engagement, satisfaction, and performance outcomes, but success depends on effective instructor guidance, structured communication, and supportive technological infrastructure (Bach, 2024; Navío-Marco, 2025; Jony & Hamim, 2024). Similarly, in professional contexts, virtual teams must navigate cognitive, social, and emotional barriers arising from geographic, temporal, and cultural separation (Morrison-Smith & Ruiz, 2020).

However, trust and psychological safety are critical for high-performing teams. Delizonna (2017) notes that teams function optimally when members feel safe to share ideas, ask questions, and take risks without fear of retribution. Psychological safety promotes creativity, innovation, and resilience, echoing Maslow’s hierarchy of needs by

providing a secure foundation for self-actualization. In education, fostering such safety enables students to engage actively in online discussions and collaborative problem-solving.

Metodología:

This study employs a qualitative, integrative literature review methodology to synthesize scholarly research, empirical studies, and industry reports on geographically dispersed and virtual teams. A comprehensive search was conducted across Google Scholar, JSTOR, and Scopus, using keywords such as “virtual teamwork,” “online collaboration,” “teamwork values,” and “psychological safety.” Selected literature was analysed to identify recurring patterns related to value alignment, trust, collaboration, and the use of technology in both educational and professional contexts. To complement academic insights, empirical evidence from industry surveys and professional reports was incorporated. Notably, the McKinsey & Company (2021) survey on the future of remote and hybrid work provided valuable contemporary data regarding employee preferences, organizational responses, and the evolving nature of virtual collaboration. Similarly, FlexJobs industry reports were analysed to highlight workforce trends. This integrative methodology strengthens the study’s capacity to bridge theoretical frameworks with empirical practice, offering comprehensive insights into the effective management of geographically dispersed teams.

Resultados y Conclusiones, Relevancia científica:

The integration of virtual education and online teamwork in social sciences education not only enhances learning outcomes but also prepares students to navigate and analyse the complexities of the modern social world. Drawing on the foundational work of scholars like Jürgen Habermas (1981) academics can design curricula that promote critical engagement, collaboration, and a deeper understanding of social issues. Jürgen Habermas (1981) emphasises the importance of communicative action in fostering mutual understanding and democratic discourse. In the context of virtual social science education, his theories can inform the design of collaborative online platforms that encourage critical dialogue and collective problem-solving.

The incorporation of virtual education and online teamwork into social sciences education offers a transformative approach to learning. This integration facilitates the

development of critical thinking, collaboration, and digital literacy among students if done effectively (Bach, 2024; Navío-Marco, 2025; Jony & Hamim, 2024). By engaging in online collaborative projects, students can analyse social phenomena, conduct virtual ethnographies, and participate in global discussions, thereby enhancing their understanding of social dynamics.

Moreover, virtual platforms enable the simulation of social scenarios, allowing students to explore complex social issues in a controlled environment. This experiential learning approach fosters empathy and a deeper comprehension of diverse perspectives, which are essential in the study of social sciences.

Bibliografía:

Appelo, J. (2016). *Managing for happiness: Games, tools, and practices to motivate any team* (pp. 40–57). John Wiley & Sons.

Bach, A. (2024). Collaborative online learning in higher education—Quality perceptions and outcomes. *Frontiers in Education.* https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/feduc.2024.1356271/full

Delizonna, L. (2017). High-performing teams need psychological safety. Here's how to create it. *Harvard Business Review, 24*(2).

Hilton, P. (2014, December 22). The need for face-to-face on virtual teams [Interview by L. Sutherland]. *Collaboration Superpowers.* https://collaborationsuperpowers.com/17-the-need-for-face-to-face-on-virtual-teams-peter-hilton

Jony, A. I., & Hamim, S. A. (2024). Empowering virtual collaboration: Harnessing AI for enhanced teamwork in higher education. *ResearchGate.* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382597321_Empowering_virtual_collaboration_harnessing_AI_for_enhanced_teamwork_in_higher_education

Morrison-Smith, S., & Ruiz, J. (2020). Challenges and barriers in virtual teams: A literature review. *SN Applied Sciences, 2,* 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-2867-0

CISOTED25

Navío-Marco, J., Ardura, D., & Galán, A. (2025). *Teamwork skills development in hybrid and online universities: The perspective of the future teachers*. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research, 14*, Article 13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44322-025-00032-1

Purc, E., & Laguna, M. (2019). Personal values and innovative behavior of employees. *Frontiers in Psychology, 10,* 865. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00865

Rice, G. (2006). Individual values, organizational context, and self-perceptions of employee creativity: Evidence from Egyptian organizations. *Journal of Business Research, 59,* 233–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2005.08.001

Schwartz, S. H. (1992). Universals in the content and structure of values: Theoretical advances and empirical tests in 20 countries. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 25,* 1–65.

Sousa, C. M. P., & Coelho, F. (2011). From personal values to creativity: Evidence from frontline service employees. *European Journal of Marketing, 45,* 1029–1050. https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561111137598

Sutherland, L., & Janene-Nelson, K. (2020). *Work together anywhere: A handbook on working remotely successfully—for individuals, teams, and managers* (pp. 163–202; 229–255). John Wiley & Sons.